



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## THE LOGICAL FORM OF GEOMETRICAL THEOREMS.

By DR. ALEXANDER MACFARLANE, Austin, Texas.

In the introduction to the Syllabus of Geometry prepared by the Geometrical Association of England, there is a portion devoted to the logic of the subject; so also in the introduction to Halsted's Elements of Geometry. The Association represents the typical theorem by

If  $A$  is  $B$ , then  $C$  is  $D$ ;

while Halsted takes

$x$  is  $y$ .

I have looked at this subject from the point of view of the *Algebra of Logic*.\* The typical form which it suggests, has this great advantage over the preceding, that we can, by means of it, prove the truth of the Rules of Contraposition and Conversion. Let  $A$  denote the subject of the theorem (as triangle),  $x$  the attribute of the antecedent,  $y$  the attribute of the consequent; then the typical theorem is

$$\Sigma A \{x = xy\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Sigma$  is used to denote *all*.

The contrapositive is

$$\Sigma A \{1 - y = (1 - y)(1 - x)\}. \quad (2)$$

Now (2) is true when (1) is true, for it is

$$\Sigma A \{1 - y = 1 - y - x + xy\},$$

which, when (1) is true, reduces to an identity.

The converse is

$$\Sigma A \{y = xy\}, \quad (3)$$

which does not follow from (1), unless  $\Sigma A \{y = x\}$ .

The obverse is

$$\Sigma A \{1 - x = (1 - x)(1 - y)\}. \quad (4)$$

When the antecedent of the theorem involves two independent attributes, the type is

$$\Sigma A \{xy = xyz\}. \quad (5)$$

This form has two contrapositives; namely

$$\Sigma A \{x(1 - z) = x(1 - z)(1 - y)\}, \quad (6)$$

and  $\Sigma A \{y(1 - z) = y(1 - z)(1 - x)\}, \quad (7)$

both of which are true, when (5) is true.

\* Principles of the *Algebra of Logic*, by A. Macfarlane, D. Sc., Edinburgh, 1879.

This type has also two converses, and two obverses.

In the works referred to, a rule of conversion is enunciated, but not proved; thus (in Syllabus) "If of the hypotheses of a group of demonstrated theorems it can be said that one must be true, and of the conclusions that no two can be true at the same time, then the converse of every theorem of the group will necessarily be true."

Let the group of theorems be

$$\Sigma A \{x_1 = x_1 y_1\}, \quad (8)$$

$$\Sigma A \{x_2 = x_2 y_2\}, \quad (9)$$

$$\Sigma A \{x_3 = x_3 y_3\}; \quad (10)$$

it is also given that

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1;$$

and

$$y_1 y_2 = 0, \quad y_2 y_3 = 0, \quad y_3 y_1 = 0.$$

By adding (8), (9), (10), we get

$$\Sigma A \{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3\};$$

$$\therefore 1 = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3.$$

Multiply by  $y_1$ , then

$$y_1 = y_1 x_2.$$

Similarly

$$y_2 = y_2 x_3, \quad \text{and} \quad y_3 = y_3 x_1.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\Sigma A \{y_1 = y_1 x_1\},$$

$$\Sigma A \{y_2 = y_2 x_2\},$$

$$\Sigma A \{y_3 = y_3 x_3\}.$$